

Classroom behavior mining in adolescents: a cognitive and data-driven approach using BEHAVE_Apriori

Suresh Govindarajalu¹, Muthukumaran Subramaniyan², Kamatchy Balakrishnan²,
Kalaichelvi Nagarajan², Nandhini Krishnamoorthy³

¹Postgraduate Department of Computer Applications, St. Joseph's College of Arts and Science (Autonomous), Cuddalore, India

²Department of Advanced Computing and Analytics, School of Computing Sciences, VELS Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Chennai, India.

³Postgraduate Department of Computer Applications, School of Computing Sciences, VELS Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Chennai, India

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jun 25, 2025

Revised May 13, 2026

Accepted May 21, 2026

Keywords:

Adolescent behavior

Apriori algorithm

Classroom behavior analysis

Cognitive psychology

Feature selection

ABSTRACT

Adolescence is a critical developmental stage that leads to essential changes in social, emotional, and cognitive domains that affect conduct in the classroom. Students' perceptions, processing, and reactions to their learning environment are better-understood thanks to cognitive psychology. However, contemporary data mining techniques frequently ignore the environmental, emotional, and cognitive elements influencing teenage behavior in learning environments. This research presents a comprehensive approach to analyzing teenage college students' classroom behavior by integrating cognitive psychology with data-driven methods to identify key behavioral traits shaped by both external and internal factors. A brand-new algorithm called the behavioral evaluation via hybrid attributes and valuable extraction using the Apriori (BEHAVE_Apriori) approach is presented. Also, a variety of feature selection (FS) strategies, including information gain (IG), chi-squared (CS), and tree-based approaches, are used for FS. Then, using the Apriori algorithm, association rules are found that relate behavior patterns to elements like family history, academic involvement, and peer influence. The IG-based FS combined with the Apriori algorithm delivered the best performance, generating 95 rules in 0.0241 seconds, outperforming CS (154 rules, 0.0629s) and tree-based FS (251 rules, 0.1394s), while the unfiltered dataset produced 514 rules in 0.2853 seconds.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.



Corresponding Author:

Suresh Govindarajalu

Postgraduate Department of Computer Applications

St. Joseph's College of Arts and Science (Autonomous)

Cuddalore - 607001, Tamil Nadu, India

Email: sureshg2233@yahoo.co.in

1. INTRODUCTION

Psychology has always placed a high priority on the scientific research of the human intelligence and behavior. Psychology as the science intentions to understand how persons think, sense, and perform in different settings. It covers various subjects, including personality, mental health, development, emotions, and interpersonal interactions. Cognition researches mental developments like awareness, memory, reasoning, and problem-solving abilities that help us comprehend and interact [1]. Cognitive psychology studies how the brain processes data such as perception, attention, memory, and language to understand how anyone think, learns, and behave. The problem-solving and decision-making capabilities are higher-level

cognitive activities that entail analyzing possibilities, applying data to novel circumstances, and choosing the best courses of action. From selecting a professional route to solving a math problem, these skills are critical for day-to-day living [2]. Most data mining models now concentrate on finding statistical correlations rather than addressing the underlying causal mechanisms rooted in cognitive psychology [3]. Although peer impact is recognized, these models frequently overlook the cognitive mechanisms involved in social learning, including internalization, mimicry, and observational learning [4]. Stress, motivation, emotions, and cultural background greatly affect cognition but are often overlooked or lack strong psychological validation in research. In most mining methodologies, classroom behavior, which is frequently influenced by cognitive load and task complexity, is not thoroughly examined [5].

A review by Kaur and Puri [6] emphasizes that cognitive psychology shapes classroom behavior by aligning teaching strategies with adolescents' cognitive development, enhancing motivation, emotional regulation, and learning outcomes. Cui *et al.* [7] found that physical activity positively impacts cognitive ability in adolescents, especially those with lower baseline cognition, with learning habits and self-education expectations acting as mediators. Fourie and Schlebusch [8], through structural equation modeling (SEM) and hierarchical linear modeling (HLM), revealed that cognitive and metacognitive engagement improves information processing. Hobbiss and Lavie [9] found that by age 12, adolescents display selective attention abilities similar to adults. Kumari and Biswas [10] revealed that classroom management techniques like clear rules, positive reinforcement, and social-emotional learning (SEL) promote positive social behavior and reduce disruptions. Huang and Wan [11] demonstrated that emotional characters in parenting increase social supports of the children and emotion guideline, lowering bullying risk, whereas excessively protective parenting rises vulnerability to mistreatment by weakening confidence and communication abilities. Yang *et al.* [12] examined daily fluctuations in early adolescents and how classroom interpersonal climate moderates these associations. Morishima *et al.* [13] examined individual and classroom-stage advantages related to help-seeking behaviors by using generalized mixed-effects models (GMEM). Hillekens *et al.* [14] investigated how earlier adolescents' social individualities and behaviors relate to relationship associations in minority-based class networks using exponential random graph models (ERGM).

Prasad and Khan [15] examined the effects of perceived parenting styles on classroom anxiety and risky behavior among adolescents by employing SEM and PROCESS macro models. Chang *et al.* [16] developed and validated a model by integrating sense of place (SOP), conservation agency, and pro-nature conservation behavior (ProCoB) using SEM. Yajun [17] developed a deep learning-based model by employing an improved you only look once version 3 (YOLOv3) with Darknet-47 for feature extraction and histogram of oriented gradients (HOG) features for local shape representation. Novak and Reysen [18] examined violence by employing path modeling with data from the LONGSCAN consortium. Petropoulou *et al.* [19] examined social-cognitive factors among Greek vocational high school students using path analysis and other statistical methods. Yan and Yuan [20] examined how peer interactions affect efficient computer-based problem-solving by utilizing network analysis to model behavioral patterns. Bommersbach *et al.* [21] investigated depressive symptoms by using data from the youth risk behavior survey (YRBS) and multivariable-adjusted logistic regression analysis. Cai *et al.* [22] examined alterations in school-based health center (SBHC) utilization employing negative binomial regression and staff interviews. Jarraza *et al.* [23] employed a deep learning network integrating TimeDistributed layers and BiLSTM layers. Speight *et al.* [24] investigated the effects of the classwide functioning intervention teams (CW-FIT) classroom-level interdependent group contingency model. Johnstone *et al.* [25] analyzed the impacts of class-wide function-related intervention teams (CW-FIT), a positive behavior support classroom management intervention. Giray *et al.* [26] introduced the Generative AI (GenAI) approach for academic dishonesty, assisted by the theory of planned behavior (TPB).

This research presents an approach to analyzing teenage college students' classroom behavior by integrating cognitive psychology with data-driven methods to identify key behavioral traits shaped by both external and internal factors. A brand-new algorithm called the behavioral evaluation via hybrid attributes and valuable extraction using the Apriori (BEHAVE_Apriori) approach is presented. Also, a variety of feature selection (FS) strategies, including information gain (IG), chi-squared (CS), and tree-based approaches are used for FS. Then, using the Apriori algorithm, association rules are found that relate behavior patterns to elements like family history, academic involvement, and peer influence.

2. METHODS

Finding and choosing the most informative features in a dataset that substantially contribute to the prediction variable or desired output is known as FS. Its objectives include minimizing the computational overhead to speed up model training and prediction, improving prediction performance on unseen data by keeping just the most significant features, and removing redundant or unnecessary features to stop the model from learning noise. Additionally, by avoiding the curse of dimensionality, choosing only the most important

features can save the costs of data collection and storage while facilitating efficient management of high-dimensional data. This study employed two filter selection techniques: the CS test and IG, and one embedded FS technique, the tree-based FS technique.

2.1. CS FS technique

One popular filter-based FS technique is the CS (χ^2) test, which works well for classification problems with categorical features and targets. Assessing the independence of each characteristic from the target variable is the aim of the CS test. More target-dependent features are chosen because they are thought to be more informative. Under the premise of independence, the CS test calculates the difference between observed and anticipated frequencies [27]. A higher CS value shows a larger deviation from independence, which suggests that the feature is more significant for forecasting the target variable.

2.2. Tree-based FS technique

The automatic determination of feature importance during model training makes tree-based FS techniques popular in machine learning. Decision trees and ensemble techniques like gradient boosting (GB) and random forest (RF) are methods that recurrently divide the dataset into subcategories according to feature values to reduce a loss function (mean squared error, entropy, or Gini impurity). They automatically assess the significance of every feature throughout this procedure. Following training, the model generates feature significance scores that indicate each feature's relative value or utility in building the trees [28].

2.3. IG FS method

Many input features are used for classification models; however, not all help make predictions. A metric from information theory called IG is frequently employed in decision trees and FS to assist in determining which features are most pertinent [29]. It calculates the amount of "information" a feature offers about the target variable or, to put it another way, the amount of uncertainty about the target class that is eliminated once the feature is known. IG enables us to recognize the features that more efficiently provided to precise forecasts by measuring this decrease in uncertainty.

2.4. Apriori algorithm

In 1994, R. Agrawal and R. Srikant presented the basic algorithm Apriori, which generates Boolean association rules and identifies frequently occurring item sets [30]. It uses the guiding heuristic that subsets of recurrent element sets must likewise be frequent. Using an iterative, level-wise approach, the technique creates candidate items of size $k+1$ through the sets of size k (k -item sets).

2.5. BEHAVE_Apriori algorithm for classroom behavior analysis

Adolescent boys and girls between 16 and 25 participated in this study by being questioned in person. The interviews aimed to determine adolescents' issues in their interactions with peers and family. Professional opinions were also sought from psychologists, physicians, athletes, and law enforcement to gain a deeper comprehension of the emotional and personal struggles that college students experience.

A thorough, closed-ended questionnaire was created using these observations as a basis. There are 45 questions on the classroom behavior analysis questionnaire, and questions 1 through 13 ask for personal information, including name, age, and educational background. A total of 2,083 college students (729 males, 1,354 females) from various institutions in Tamil Nadu's Cuddalore, Chengalpattu, Villupuram, and Sivagangai districts participated in a survey on classroom behavior, using a 32-item closed-ended questionnaire. Most students were aged 16–19 (1,551), followed by 19–23 (501), 23–25 (27), and above 25 (4). The study included both government and private colleges and universities.

To analyze the classroom behavior of teenage college students, this research presents the Behavioral evaluation via hybrid attributes and valuable extraction using the Apriori (BEHAVE_Apriori) Algorithm. The algorithm functions in two main stages. Figure 1 illustrates the initial FS process using three modules: correlation-based selection (CS), tree-based FS, and IG. Each method selects the top k features to create three refined versions of the classroom behavior dataset. In the next step, shown in Figure 2, the Apriori association rule mining Algorithm is applied separately to each dataset using varied support and confidence thresholds. Metrics like lift, representativity, leverage, and conviction evaluate the results. The combined association rules provide insights to help teachers enhance student-teacher interactions.




Figure 1. The FS module is presented in the BEHAVE_Apriori model




Figure 2. The Apriori algorithm module present in the BEHAVE_Apriori model

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. FS using CS method

In the BEHAVE_Apriori method, the CS FS method is implemented using key Python libraries, including NumPy and Pandas for effective data manipulation and Matplotlib.pyplot and Seaborn for data visualization. According to this method, the target variable, which is stored in y and situated at index 130 of the dataset, is assigned to feature matrix X and all other input characteristics. By converting category labels into integer values, the LabelEncoder converts the target variable into a numerical format to aid supervised learning. The CS test is used to assess the statistical dependence between each feature and the target variable by applying the chi2 technique from sklearn.feature_selection module.

The CS values are found in the output `chi_scores[0]`, where larger values denote a feature's greater importance. The mutual information between each feature and the target variable is also calculated using the `mutual_info_classif` method. This measure, with scores ranging from 0 (no reliance) to 1 (full dependency), assesses the amount of information shared across variables. The outcomes are similarly converted into `pandas.series`, arranged in decreasing order, and presented in an interpretable bar chart. The `SelectKBest` approach, which finds and keeps the top k features (in this example, $k = 50$) based on their CS scores, is used to choose the most pertinent features.

3.2. Frequent pattern mining using Apriori algorithm

The dataset was handled and altered using the `panda's` Python package. The `time` library was imported to monitor the algorithm's execution time. The `lxtend.frequent_patterns` library includes the `association_rules` function and the `apriori` technique for pattern mining. The `time.time()` method, which is written at the start and finish of the `apriori` process, was used to measure the beginning and ending times. The total records (rows) in the dataset are determined using the `num_transactions` method, and the total number of frequent itemsets in the classroom behavior datasets is determined using the `num_frequent_itemsets` method. The `num_association_rules` method was employed for calculating the total number of association rules produced via the Apriori Algorithm. The Apriori algorithm's complete performance measurements and other outputs were methodically assembled and exported to an Excel file for additional examination and interpretation. Table 1 illustrates the greatest 12 association rules created by BEHAVE_Apriori method.

The original classroom behavior analysis dataset and the three databases created by FS approaches were assessed to the Apriori Algorithm. The performance metrics displayed in Table 2 were used to gauge the effectiveness of Apriori method. The IG-based dataset had the quickest execution time (0.0241 seconds) out of the four analyzed datasets, whereas the raw dataset took the longest (0.2853 seconds) because of its higher dimensionality. All datasets maintained a constant number of transactions (2083) despite differences in FS methods, guaranteeing consistency in the study. The IG-based dataset yielded the fewest frequent itemsets (95), while the raw dataset produced the most (514). This decrease is ascribed to the IG method's stricter and more restrictive criteria, which only keep the most pertinent characteristics. The creation of association rules showed a similar pattern: the raw dataset produced the most rules (1155), while the IG-based dataset produced the fewest (188), suggesting a better-targeted and less redundant set of rules.

Table 1. Greatest 12 association rules produced by BEHAVE_Apriori model for attributes chosen by CS FS technique

Rules	Antecedents (LKS)	Consequents (RHS)	Support	Confidence	Coverage	Lift
R1	{'FamilyBackground_rural', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages', 'Course_UG'}	0.75	0.89	0.84	1.37
R2	{'Age_16_to_19', 'Gender_female', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	{'Parentoccupation_daily wages', 'Schoolboard_stateboard'}	0.72	0.88	0.82	1.29
R3	{'Parentoccupation_daily wages', 'Age_16_to_19', 'Gender_female'}	{'Schoolboard_stateboard'}	0.66	0.89	0.74	1.27
R4	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages'}	{'Parentrelationship_together'}	0.75	0.85	0.88	1.25
R5	{'Age_16_to_19', 'Gender_female', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	{'Schoolboard_stateboard'}	0.68	0.84	0.82	1.23
R6	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages', 'Schoolboard_stateboard', 'Medium_Tamil'}	{'Age_16_to_19'}	0.61	0.82	0.74	1.22
R7	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages', 'Schoolboard_stateboard', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	{'Age_16_to_19'}	0.72	0.82	0.88	1.22
R8	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages', 'Age_16_to_19', 'Medium_Tamil'}	{'Schoolboard_stateboard', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	0.68	0.81	0.84	1.21
R9	{'Schoolboard_stateboard', 'Gender_female', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages'}	0.61	0.81	0.75	1.21
R10	{'Ugnature_Boys Only'}	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	0.68	0.84	0.82	1.20
R11	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages', 'Age_16_to_19', 'Course_UG', 'Parentrelationship_together'}	{'Schoolboard_stateboard'}	0.61	0.82	0.74	1.19
R12	{'Medium_Tamil', 'Age_16_to_19'}	{'Parentoccupation_Daily wages'}	0.72	0.88	0.82	1.16

Table 2. Comparative evaluation of performance metrics for four Apriori-based methods

	Apriori	Apriori-chisquare	Apriori-treebased	Apriori-infogain
Execution time	0.2853 sec	0.0629 sec	0.1394 sec	0.0241 sec
Numbers of transactions	2083	2083	2083	2083
Numbers of frequent itemsets	514	154	215	95
Number of association rules Generated	1155	304	225	188
Representativity	1	1	1	1
Leverage	0.009	0.009	0.011	0.008
Conviction	1.123	1.136	1.143	1.134
Zhangs_metric	0.046	0.049	0.059	0.045
Jaccard	0.432	0.449	0.436	0.469
Certainty	0.083	0.080	0.095	0.079
Kulczynski	0.648	0.659	0.646	0.673

4. CONCLUSION

Using cutting-edge data mining techniques and cognitive psychology concepts, this research introduces the BEHAVE_Apriori algorithm as a new and efficient method for examining teenage classroom behavior. The model efficiently determines the most pertinent behavioral characteristics using hybrid FS techniques like IG, CS, and tree-based approaches while drastically lowering the Apriori algorithm's computing complexity. The results show that in addition to improving rule generation efficiency, the suggested paradigm offers profound insights into how environmental, emotional, and cognitive aspects affect teenage behavior in learning environments. By bridging the gap between algorithmic analysis and cognitive theory, this model provides educators, psychologists, and policymakers with a valuable tool for creating a more encouraging and productive learning environment. In the long run, the BEHAVE_Apriori algorithm advances targeted treatments for behavioral support, leadership development, and ethical education while advancing the understanding of adolescent growth. The limitations include contextual settings, which may limit the generalization across various sectors. Future work should concentrate on analyzing the model with wide datasets, longitudinal designs, and real-world applications to strengthen robustness and practical impact.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The authors received no funding for this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS STATEMENT

This journal uses the Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT) to recognize individual author contributions, reduce authorship disputes, and facilitate collaboration.

Name of Author	C	M	So	Va	Fo	I	R	D	O	E	Vi	Su	P	Fu
Suresh Govindarajalu	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	
Muthukumaran		✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Subramaniyan														
Kamatchy	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓			✓	✓		✓	✓
Balakrishnan														
Kalaichelvi Nagarajan		✓		✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Nandhini	✓		✓	✓	✓		✓		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Krishnamoorthy														

C : **C**onceptualization

M : **M**ethodology

So : **S**oftware

Va : **V**alidation

Fo : **F**ormal analysis

I : **I**nvestigation

R : **R**esources

D : **D**ata Curation

O : Writing - **O**riginal Draft

E : Writing - Review & **E**ding

Vi : **V**isualization

Su : **S**upervision

P : **P**roject administration

Fu : **F**unding acquisition

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing does not apply to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Shukla and N. Upadhyay, "Psychosomatic problems among adolescents during/post the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review," *Adolescent Psychiatry*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 134–163, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.2174/0122106766307916240626104350.
- [2] S. Washburn, R. Branch, I. Jean-Francois, and S. L. Berman, "The role of identity in the relationship between PTSD and executive functioning," *Adolescent Psychiatry*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 164–176, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.2174/0122106766279589240529123124.
- [3] Y. Huang and H. Chui, "Promoting adolescent subjective well-being: a classroom environment approach," *Learning Environments Research*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 435–451, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10984-023-09488-4.
- [4] P. Hou, M. Yang, T. Zhang, and T. Na, "Analysis of English classroom teaching behavior and strategies under adaptive deep learning under cognitive psychology," *Current Psychology*, vol. 43, no. 47, pp. 35974–35988, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s12144-024-07076-0.
- [5] B. M. Nesrine, S. Jarraya, and L. Caprioli, "The effectiveness of a mindfulness-based program in improving cognitive and socio-affective skills among adolescents with intellectual and developmental disabilities: a randomized controlled study," *Mindfulness*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 133–148, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s12671-024-02495-3.
- [6] D. B. Kaur and B. Puri, "Role of educational psychology in adolescent education: a review of recent literature," *International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management*, vol. 09, no. 01, pp. 1–9, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.55041/IJSREM40592.
- [7] L. Cui *et al.*, "Physical activity promotes the development of cognitive ability in adolescents: the chain mediating role based on self-education expectations and learning behaviors," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 15, p. 1383384, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1383384.
- [8] M. Fourie and G. Schlebusch, "Cognitive psychology and the classroom: what teachers need to know," *Journal of Educational Sciences & Psychology*, vol. 14 (76), no. 1, pp. 64–75, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.51865/JESP.2024.1.08.
- [9] M. H. Hobbiss and N. Lavie, "Sustained selective attention in adolescence: cognitive development and predictors of distractibility at school," *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, vol. 238, p. 105784, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jecp.2023.105784.
- [10] Madhu Kumari and Dr. Santanu Biswas, "Classroom management strategies and their influence on student social behavior," *International Journal of Humanities, Engineering, Science and Management*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.59364/ijhesm.v5i1.272.
- [11] S. Huang and Z. Wan, "Parenting styles and school bullying among Chinese adolescents: the mediating effects of social support and cognitive reappraisal," *BMC Psychology*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 409, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s40359-025-02726-9.
- [12] L. Yang, C. Salmivalli, J. Wang, J. Chen, M. Lyu, and P. Ren, "Is social mindfulness an antidote to early adolescents' aggressive behavior in daily school life? The moderating role of classroom interpersonal climate," *Journal of Personality*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 109–123, Feb. 2026, doi: 10.1111/jopy.13020.
- [13] R. Morishima *et al.*, "Classroom-level and individual-level prosociality and help-seeking behaviors among adolescents," *JAMA Network Open*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. e2510319, May 2025, doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2025.10319.
- [14] J. Hillekens, T. H. Stark, and K. Phalet, "To be bicultural is to be friends? Associating early adolescents' cultural identities and behaviors with friendship networks in minority-only schools," *Journal of Community Psychology*, vol. 54, no. 1, p. e70081, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1002/jcop.70081.
- [15] S. Prasad and W. Khan, "Adolescent classroom anxiety and risky behaviour: The role of parenting," *Journal of Contemporary Behavioural and Social Research*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 92–96, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.4103/JCBSR.JCBSR_30_25.
- [16] L. Chang, S. Cai, and J. Chen, "Place-based education enhances pro-nature conservation behavior in Chinese adolescents via sense of place and agency," *Conservation Letters*, vol. 19, no. 1, p. e70023, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1111/con4.70023.
- [17] W. Yajun, "A deep learning recognition method for students' abnormal behaviors in smart classroom teaching scenarios," *International Journal of High Speed Electronics and Systems*, vol. 34, no. 04, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.1142/S0129156425402918.
- [18] A. S. Novak and R. Reysen, "Community violence exposure and fear in schools: paths to suspension following community violence exposure in early childhood," *Journal of School Health*, vol. 96, no. 1, p. e70083, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1111/josh.70083.
- [19] A. Petropoulou, H. Antonopoulou, A. A. Vlachou, E. Gkintoni, and C. Halkiopoulos, "Social-cognitive factors in antisocial behavior and school violence: a cross-sectional analysis of Greek vocational students," *Children*, vol. 12, no. 12, p. 1647, Dec. 2025, doi: 10.3390/children12121647.
- [20] H. Yan and J. Yuan, "Pathways to success of digital collaboration: a network perspective on peer interaction in computer-based collaborative problem solving," *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, pp. 1–20, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2025.2609907.
- [21] T. J. Bommersbach, M. Olsson, and T. G. Rhee, "Trends in depressive symptoms among high school students with and without health-risk behaviors in the United States: a population-based study," *The Lancet Regional Health - Americas*, vol. 42, p. 101000, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.lana.2025.101000.
- [22] C. Cai, A. Pan, B. M. Smith, G. Calderon, S. B. Johnson, and K. A. Connor, "School-based health center use for mental and behavioral health disorders before and after the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods study," *Journal of School Health*, vol. 96, no. 1, p. e70090, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1111/josh.70090.
- [23] S. K. Jarraya, M. Masmoudi, F. A. Alqurashi, and S. M. Alshammari, "Analyzing and detecting abnormal behaviors of drug abuse and addiction users in school environments based on deep learning approaches," *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 2025, no. 1, p. 9722173, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1155/int/9722173.
- [24] R. Speight, L. Murphy, and A. Fitzgerald, "Impact of CW-FIT on student appropriately engaged behavior in two co-taught middle school classrooms," *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 50–63, Jan. 2026, doi: 10.1177/10983007251335356.
- [25] P. A. Johnstone, P. Caldarella, C. V. Sabey, and H. P. Wills, "Improving behavior in a self-contained elementary classroom for students with emotional or behavioral disorders: a study of CW-FIT," *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 158–169, 2025, doi: 10.1177/10983007241275695.
- [26] L. Giray, J. Jacob, V. Encanto, and C. J. Mansilungan, "Cheating writing with generative AI: exploring student motivations using the theory of planned behavior," *Journal of Academic Ethics*, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 19, Mar. 2026, doi: 10.1007/s10805-025-09695-z.
- [27] H. Bhoria, A. Dhankhar, and K. Solanki, "Chi-square feature selection technique for student's performance prediction," *Indian Journal of Science And Technology*, vol. 16, no. 38, pp. 3250–3257, 2023, doi: 10.17485/ijst/v16i38.921.

- [28] M. Parmar, A. Sonker, and V. Sejwar, "A comparative analysis for filter-based feature selection techniques with tree-based classification," *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, vol. 11, no. 10s, pp. 360–369, 2023, doi: 10.17762/ijritcc.v11i10s.7643.
- [29] B. Zhang, Z. Wang, H. Li, Z. Lei, J. Cheng, and S. Gao, "Information gain-based multi-objective evolutionary algorithm for feature selection," *Information Sciences*, vol. 677, p. 120901, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2024.120901.
- [30] Z. Tang, Z. Jiang, Y. Li, H. Yuan, J. Han, and C. Chen, "Research on the association analysis of online learning behaviors based on the Apriori algorithm," *Frontiers in Computing and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 18–22, 2024, doi: 10.54097/4a3h3p03.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Suresh Govindarajalu    obtained his Bachelor's and Master's degrees from Madras University, Chennai. He got his M.Phil. and Ph.D. degree from Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli. He made significant research contributions in the fields of machine learning, natural language processing, and cognitive psychology. He is an Assistant Professor at St.Joseph's College of Arts and Science (Autonomous), Cuddalore, Tamilnadu. He can be contacted at email: sureshg2233@yahoo.co.in.



Muthukumaran Subramaniyan    obtained his Bachelor's degree from Bharathidasan University, Trichy. He got his master's degree and M.Phil from Thiruvalluvar University, Vellore. He got his Ph.D. degree at Alagappa University, Karaikudi. He made significant research contributions in the fields of machine learning, natural language processing, and cognitive psychology. He is an Assistant Professor at VELS Institute of Science, Technology, and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai, Tamilnadu. He can be contacted at email: muthumphil11@gmail.com.



Kamatchy Balakrishnan    holds a Bachelor's and Postgraduate degree from Bharathidasan University, Tamil Nadu. She pursued her M.Phil and completed her Ph.D. at Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu. Her research expertise lies in the fields of Machine Learning and Deep Learning, where she has made noteworthy contributions through her academic and scholarly work. She is currently serving as an Assistant Professor at the VELS Institute of Science, Technology, and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. She can be contacted at email: kamatchibharathi9@gmail.com.



Kalaichelvi Nagarajan    received her Bachelor's and Master's degree from The Gandhigram Rural University, Dindigul. She received her Master of Philosophy (M.Phil) degree from Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai. She has completed her Ph.D. degree in The Gandhigram Rural Institute-Deemed to be University. Her research focuses on Brain Tumor analysis and Survival Prediction. She has nearly 8 years of teaching experience as Assistant professor in various colleges. Currently she is working as Assistant Professor in VISTAS, Pallavaram Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. She can be contacted at email: dr.kalaichelvinagarajan@gmail.com.



Dr. Nandhini Krishnamoorthy    earned her Master of Computer Applications from Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Thirunelveli, followed by M.Phil from Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai. She completed her Ph.D. at Vels Institute of Science Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai. Her research focuses on machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, where she has made notable contributions. She currently serves as an Assistant Professor at the VELS Institute of Science, Technology and Advanced Studies (VISTAS), Pallavaram, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. She can be contacted at email: kgnandhukrish@gmail.com.